

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/755,549	01/12/2004	Johnny Tai	CFP-2305 (15722/619) 1207		
23595	7590 05/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.			GARRETT, ERIKA P		
900 SECONI SUITE 820	O AVENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3636		

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/755,549	TAI, JOHNNY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Erika Garrett	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Amendment filed on 1/21/05.</u>					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
1	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,10-12 and 15-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,10-12 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_](Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1,121(d).						
11)[_] T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2 2 2 3 2 and office a chief a chief for the defining depicts not received.						
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/755,549

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,5-7,10-12 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan (4,678,229) in view of Higgins (6,412,870). Ryan discloses the use of a chair comprising two frames (10,10'), a support (8) located between and pivotally connected with the frames for supporting a user and a hydraulic cylinder (72) connected between one of the frames and the support; wherein the hydraulic cylinder includes a lever (90) movable from a first position where the hydraulic cylinder cannot be extended and shrunk and a second position where the hydraulic cylinder can be extended and shrunk. Further comprising two brackets (55) each for connecting corresponding frames with the support, wherein the brackets include a collar (25) and two rods (37) connected between the collar and the support; wherein the support includes an upper portion formed as a backrest (3), a middle portion formed as a seat (5) and a lower portion formed as a stool (7). Ryan shows the use of all the claimed invention but fails to show the use of an axle installed in the armrest. Higgins teaches the use of an axle (64) installed in the armrest (12). It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the armrest with an axle as taught by Higgins, in order to recline the seat to a flatter surface for the occupant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2,5-7,10-12 and 15-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika Garrett whose telephone number is 571-272-6859.

Art Unit: 3636

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EG April 28, 2005 Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600